

| | | | |
|---|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. 10/727,973 | Applicant(s) CRISPIN ET AL. | |
| | Examiner Leynna T. Truvan | Art Unit 2135 | |

All Participants:

 (1) Leynna T. Truvan.

 (2) Richard Huffman.
Status of Application: Allowability

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 26 September 2008
Time: 2:30pm
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/L. T. T./

Examiner, Art Unit 2135

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner wanted to clarify the claim language and 101 matter with regards to claim 1. Examiner indicated with the corrections, would then place the application in condition for allowance. Examiner left a voice message. Mr.Huffman responded giving examiner permission for an examiner's amendment and that he will be faxing in proposed amendment to indicate the necessary changes. .